

REMARKS

Preliminary to examination of this application please amend Claims 46, 56, 57, and 61-66, please add new Claims 78-84, and please cancel Claims 54 and 55 without prejudice. Applicants note with appreciation the opportunity provided by the Examiner to discuss this application in person. Now in the application are Claims 46-55, and 58-84, of which Claims 46, 58, and 70 are independent. The cancellations of and/or amendments to the claims are being made to more fully appreciate the inventive subject matter of the above identified application.

More specifically, Claim 46 is amended to include the subject matter of cancelled Claims 54 and 55, and to more fully appreciate the concept of an event message. Likewise, Claims 61-66 are amended to clarify the recited message is an event message. New Claims 78-84 further define events in a network associated with an event message. No new matter is added and no new issues are raised by these amendments. Accordingly, consideration of the amendments requires no further search. The following comments address all previously stated grounds for rejection and place the presently pending claims, as identified above, in condition for allowance.

Double Patenting Rejection

The Office Action provisionally rejected Claims 46-77 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of now issued U.S. Patent Number 6,131,112 of Lewis et al. in view of Published International Application WO 95/08794 and U.S. Patent Number 5,473,608 of Gagne et al. Applicant submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the double patenting rejection.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 46-77 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,764,995 of Doolan (hereinafter "Doolan") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,026,091 of Christie, et al. (hereinafter "Christie"). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections on the basis of the following arguments, and further contend that neither Doolan nor Christie, alone or in combination, teach or suggest all elements of these

claims, as described below, and hence, does not detract from the patentability of claims 46-77.

For purposes of clarity in the discussion below, the respective claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103 are discussed separately.

A. Rejection of Claims 46-60 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The Office Action rejects claims 46-60 as being unpatentable over Doolan in view of Christie. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection on the basis of the following arguments and further contends that neither Doolan nor Christie, alone or in combination, teach or suggest all elements of these claims as described below, and hence, does not render these claims unpatentable.

In the present invention, a method for sharing information between two management systems is provided to more efficiently utilize the resources of both systems (please see page 3, line 26 through page 4, line 4 of the Application). In this system, a first management system notifies a second management system when a message is available from the first management system. The messages are typically events or alarms that are produced by managed entities. To avoid sending all events from all managed entities to a single system, a method is provided wherein the first and second management systems may share information, yet not be subsumed with the task of managing all of the entities. To meet this end, the first management system takes an action to provide second management system with the message in the format compatible with the first management system. In some instances, the first management system may filter out less important events or alarms (please see page 15, lines 9-16 of the Application) to reduce the burden on the second management system. Also, the first management system may correlate the events or alarms to determine whether the events or alarms are of interest to the second management system (please see page 13, lines 24-28). Further, the first management system is capable of providing display views used to manage one or more of the entities. (please see page 5, lines 3-7).

The Doolan patent is directed to a gateway that allows a CMIP/CMISE network manager to manage legacy telecommunications network elements by providing a bi-directional mapping between CMIP messages and legacy syntax messages. The Doolan

patent does not teach or suggest a method for sharing information between a first management and a second management system, as set forth in claim 46. The invention recited in claim 46 is directed to communications between *two* management systems. In contrast, the Doolan reference teaches or suggests communications between a single management system and a gateway and does not teach or suggest communications between *two* management systems, a significant advantage of the claimed invention.

The Christie reference is directed to an ATM call connection manager (CCM) and an ATM gateway responsive to control messages from the CCM. The ATM gateway in response to a control message from the ATM CCM changes a value in a field of an ATM cell as the ATM cell transfers from a first ATM system to a second ATM system. The ATM CCM and ATM gateway of Christie help ensure proper routing of ATM cells between the first ATM system and the second ATM system. That is, the ATM CCM and ATM gateway are responsible for changing the virtual path identification/virtual channel identification (VPI/VCI) value of an ATM cell from a value associated with the first ATM system to a value associated with the second ATM system, and vice versa, to ensure proper routing of ATM cells between the first ATM system and the second ATM system. The Christie patent does not teach or suggest a method for sharing information between a first management system and a second management system as recited in claim 46.

The ATM CCM taught by the Christie patent is concerned with command and control messages not *event messages*. Further, the object of the Christie patent is to avoid the use of a high cost ATM switch between the first ATM system and the second ATM system and, hence, is merely concerned with transporting data from a source node in a network to a destination node in the network without regard for the sharing of information between two management systems.

Claims 47-53 and 56-60 depend, directly or indirectly from amended independent claim 46, and therefore incorporate the patentable subject matter of claim 46. Amended claim 46 is directed to a method for sharing information between a first management system and a second management system. The method includes steps of the first management system receiving an event message and determining whether the event message relates to an entity that is managed by the second management system. The event message indicates an occurrence of an event in a network associated with the first

management system. When the event message relates to an entity that is managed by the second management system, the event message is formatted into a format compatible with the second management system. The method also includes a step of taking an action to provide the second management system with the event message in the format compatible with the second management system.

The subject matter recited in Claims 47-53 and 56-60 are patentability distinct from the Doolan reference and the Christie reference, alone or in combination. Neither the Doolan reference nor the Christie reference teach or suggest communications between two management systems.

The Doolan reference is cited for teaching or suggesting the steps of the first management system receiving an event message and determining whether the event message relates to an entity that is managed by a second management system. The Examiner recognizes that the Doolan reference fails to teach or suggest the steps of formatting an event message in a format compatible with a second management system and taking an action to provide the second management system with the event message in the format compatible with the second management system. Nonetheless, the Doolan reference does not teach or suggest a first management system receiving an event message and determining whether the event message relates to an entity managed by a second management system.

The Doolan reference teaches a management system (200) that relies on a gateway (204) for communication with legacy network elements. That is, Doolan recognizes that older network equipment often lack the capability to support more recent management protocols, such as the common management information protocol (CMIP). Accordingly, Doolan teaches an intermediary or gateway between the management system and the legacy network equipment to map and translate requests and responses between the management system (200) and the legacy network elements. As such, a single network management system using a communication protocol not supported by legacy network equipment can still manage the legacy network equipment using the communication protocol. That is, the Doolan reference teaches a single management system and does not teach or suggest a method for sharing information between *two* management systems that include steps of the first management system receiving an event message and determining whether the event message relates to an entity managed

by a second management system. The gateway (204) of Doolan does not manage entities. Gateway (204) of Doolan is merely a translation mechanism such as a dictionary for use in looking up commands. Manager (200) manages the network elements the gateway (204) do not.

The Christie reference is cited as teaching or suggesting the steps of formatting of the event message in a format compatible with the second management system when the event message relates to an entity managed by the second management system and taking of an action to provide the second management system with the event message in the format compatible with the second management system, as recited in amended Claim 46. The gateway (130) of Christie does not format an event message in a format compatible with a second management system. That is, gateway (130) acts and operates much like an ATM switch directing ATM cells from a first ATM network to a second ATM network. Gateway (130) merely modifies a value in a field of an ATM cell and does not carry out any formatting or re-formatting of the cell to ensure an ATM cell is properly routed between two ATM networks. Moreover, the ATM CCM of the Christie reference is concerned with control messages not *event* messages. The control messages of Christie relate to commands or instructions for the gateway to perform. The control messages of Christie do not indicate an occurrence of an event in a network. More likely, if at all, the control messages of Christie are a result of an event message. Moreover, *event* messages originate from a managed object and not from the manager of a managed object. By contrast, a control message originates from the manager of the managed object, not the managed object.

The citation of the Doolan reference in view of the Christie reference, fails to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness with which to reject Claims 46-53 and 56-66. Neither the Doolan reference nor the Christie reference, alone or in combination, teach or suggest each and every element of Claims 46-53 and 54-66, as amended. In the Office Action, the Examiner simply submits as evidence of motivation the unsupportive statements that the gateway disclosed by Christie is similar to the gateway disclosed by Doolan, and, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Christie and Doolan. Applicant respectfully submits that the gateway of Christie has a structure, operation, and function distinct from the structure,

operation, and function of the Doolan gateway. Consequently, one skilled in the art is not motivated to combine the gateway of Christie with the gateway of Doolan.

The suggested combination of references would require a substantial reconstruction and redesign of the gateway element detailed in the Doolan reference as well as a significant change in the basic principal under which the gateway of Doolan was constructed and designed to operate. That is, the replacement of the Doolan gateway with the Christie gateway would leave the system of Doolan inoperable. The mere changing of a value in a field of an ATM cell does not result in the translation of a communication from a CMIP format to some other format understood by a piece of legacy network equipment.

Furthermore, the nature of the problem to be solved by the Doolan reference is distinct from the nature of the problem to be solved by the Christie reference, leaving one skilled in the art with no motivation to combine the references as the Examiner suggests. That is, the gateway of the Doolan reference solves the problem of having to replace legacy network equipment merely because of a change in a command and control protocol used to remotely manage the equipment. To overcome this problem, Doolan teaches a gateway for translating between the new protocol and the legacy protocol, thus saving considerable expense by avoiding the need to replace the legacy network equipment in order to operate a network with a new command and control protocol. In contrast, the problem solved by the Christie reference is to avoid the significant expense of placing an ATM switch between two ATM systems or networks. Essentially, the gateway taught by the Christie reference is a software switch or soft switch and avoids the need for an expensive hardware component for transferring ATM cells between two ATM systems. Hence, the Doolan reference and the Christie reference both solve distinct and unrelated problems. Furthermore, the present application is meant to solve a third problem distinct and unrelated from the problems solved by the Doolan reference and the Christie reference. That is, the subject matter disclosed by the inventors in the present application solve the problem of sharing information between two different management systems to more efficiently utilize the resources of both systems. Hence, the problem solved by the Doolan reference and the Christie reference would not have suggested to or motivated one skilled in the art to combine the references in an attempt to solve a problem of sharing information between two management systems.

As such, Applicants assert that the Christie reference fails to bridge the factual deficiencies of the Doolan reference and therefore neither the Doolan reference, nor the Christie reference, alone or in combination establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness. Hence, neither the Doolan reference nor the Christie reference, alone or in combination, detract from the patentability of Claims 46-53 and 56-60, as amended. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of claims 46-53 and 56-60 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

B. Rejection of Claims 61-72 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The Office Action rejects claims 61-72 as being unpatentable over Doolan in view of Christie. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection on the basis of the following arguments and further contends that neither Doolan nor Christie, alone or in combination, teach or suggest all elements of these claims as described below, and hence, does not render these claims unpatentable.

The Doolan reference is directed to a gateway that allows a CMIP/CMISE network manager to manage legacy telecommunications network elements by providing a bi-directional mapping between CMIP messages and legacy syntax messages. The Doolan reference does not teach or suggest an apparatus for sharing information between a first management and a second management system, as set forth in amended Claim 61. The invention recited in amended Claim 61 is directed to an apparatus for sharing information between *two* management systems. In contrast, the Doolan reference teaches or suggests communications between a single management system and a gateway and does not teach or suggest communications between *two* management systems, a significant advantage of the claimed invention.

The Christie reference is directed to an ATM CCM and an ATM gateway. The ATM gateway is responsive to control messages from the ATM CCM to change a value in a field of an ATM cell as the ATM cell transfers from a first ATM system to a second ATM system. The ATM CCM and ATM gateway of Christie help ensure proper routing of ATM cells between the first ATM system and the second ATM system without the use of an ATM switch. That is, the ATM CCM and the ATM gateway are responsible for changing the VPI/VCI value of an ATM cell from a value associated with the first ATM system to a value associated with the second ATM system, and vice versa, to ensure

proper routing of ATM cells between the first ATM system and the second ATM system.

The Christie reference does not teach or suggest an apparatus for sharing information between a first management system and a second management system as recited in amended Claim 61. Further, the object of the Christie reference is to avoid the use of a high cost ATM switch between the first ATM system and the second ATM system and, hence, is merely concerned with transporting data from a source node in a network to a destination node in the network without regard for the sharing of information between two management systems.

Claims 62-72 as amended depend from amended Claim 61 and therefore incorporate the patentable subject matter of amended Claim 61. Amended Claim 61 is directed to an apparatus for sharing information between a first management system and a second management system. The apparatus includes a first means for receiving an event message from the first management system and a second means for determining whether the event message relates to an entity that is managed by the second management system. A third means of the apparatus formats the event message in a format compatible with the second management system when the event message relates to an entity that is managed by the second management system. A fourth means of the apparatus takes an action to provide the second management system with the event message in the format compatible with the second management system.

Neither the Doolan reference nor the Christie reference, alone or combination teach or suggest each and every element of amended Claim 61. Doolan is concerned with a gateway that translates and maps communications between a single management system and legacy pieces of network equipment. Christie is concerned with an ATM CCM and ATM gateway responsible for routing ATM cells between two ATM systems. Nowhere does the Doolan reference or the Christie reference teach two management systems sharing event messages.

Accordingly, Applicant contends that the Doolan reference in view of the Christie reference fails to teach or suggest each and every element of amended Claim 61 and therefore each and every element of Claims 62-72 as amended. As such, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of claims 61-72 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

C. Rejection of Claims 73-77 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The Office Action rejects claims 73-77 as being unpatentable over Doolan in view of Christie. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection on the basis of the following arguments and further contends that neither Doolan nor Christie, alone or in combination, teach or suggest all elements of these claims as described below, and hence, does not render these claims unpatentable.

The Doolan reference is directed to a gateway that allows a CMIP/CMISE network manager to manage legacy telecommunications network elements by providing a bi-directional mapping between CMIP messages and legacy syntax messages. The Doolan reference does not teach or suggest a system providing an interface between a first management and a second management system, as set forth in claim 73. The invention recited in claim 73 is directed to a system providing an interface between *two* management systems. In contrast, the Doolan reference teaches or suggests communications between a single management system and a gateway and does not teach or suggest an interface between *two* management systems, a significant advantage of the claimed invention.

The Christie reference is directed to an ATM gateway responsible for changing a value in a field of an ATM cell as the ATM cell transfers from a first ATM system to a second ATM system. The ATM gateway of Christie helps ensure proper routing of ATM cells between the first ATM system and the second ATM system. That is, the ATM gateway is responsible for changing the VPI/VCI value of an ATM cell from a value associated with the first ATM system to a value associated with the second ATM system, and vice versa, to ensure proper routing of ATM cells between the first ATM system and the second ATM system. The Christie patent does not teach or suggest a system providing an interface between a first management system and a second management system as recited in claim 73. Further, the object of the Christie patent is to avoid the use of a high cost ATM switch between the first ATM system and the second ATM system and, hence, is merely concerned with transporting data from a source node in a network to a destination node in the network without regard for providing an interface between two management systems.

Claims 74-77 depend from claim 73 and therefore incorporate the patentable subject matter of claim 73. Claim 73 is directed to a system for providing an interface

between a first management system and a second management system. The system includes a correlator. The correlator has an input that receives a message from the first management system and an output that provides a correlated message when the message is related to an entity managed by the second management system. The system includes a message formatter coupled to the correlator. The message formatter has an input that receives the correlated message and an output that provides a formatted message in a format that is compatible with the second management system. The system also includes an interface module coupled to the correlator and the second management system. The interface module takes a selected action should the output of the correlator provide a correlated message.

Neither the Doolan reference nor the Christie reference, alone or combination teach or suggest each and every element of claim 73. Doolan is concerned with a gateway that translates and maps communications between a single management system and legacy pieces of network equipment. Christie is concerned with an ATM gateway responsible for routing ATM cells between two ATM systems.

Accordingly, Applicant contends that the Doolan reference in view of the Christie reference fails to teach or suggest each and every element of claim 73 and therefore each and every element of claim 74-77. As such, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of claims 73-77 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

New Claims 78-84

New Claims 78-84 depend from independent Claim 46 and therefore incorporate the patentable features of amended Claim 46. Hence, new Claims 78-84 are not anticipated by nor are they rendered obvious by the cited references either alone or in combination. New Claims 78-84 recite subject matter that further defines the event message recited in Claim 46. Accordingly, new Claims 78-84 are patentably distinct from each of the cited references either alone or in combination.

CONCLUSION

In view of the remarks set forth above, Applicants contend that Claims 46-84 presently pending in this application, are patentable, and in condition for allowance. If the Examiner deems there are any remaining issues, we invite the Examiner to call the undersigned at (617) 227-7400.

Respectfully submitted,
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP



David R. Burns
Reg. No. 46,590
Attorney for Applicants

28 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
(617) 227-7400

Dated: **November 19, 2004**

ASSIGNMENT

COPY

In consideration of One Dollar (\$1.00) and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, we the undersigned Lundy Lewis, David St. Onge and Ruchika Mehta hereby

Sell, assign and transfer to Cabletron Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation having a place of business at 35 Industrial Way, P.O. Box 5005, Rochester, New Hampshire 03867-5005, its successors, assigns and legal representatives, all hereinafter referred to as the Assignee, the entire right, title and interest for the United States and all foreign countries, in and to any and all inventions which are disclosed in the application for United States Letters Patent filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office on May 17, 1996 under Serial No. 08/649,278 and entitled METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INTEGRATED NETWORK MANAGEMENT AND SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT IN COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS, and in and to said application and all divisional, continuing, substitute, renewal, reissue and all other applications for Letters Patent which have been or shall be filed in the United States and all foreign countries on any of said inventions; and in and to all original and reissued patents which have been or shall be issued in the United States and all foreign countries on said inventions including the right to apply for patent rights in each foreign country and all rights to priority.


We agree that said Assignee may apply for and receive Letters Patent for said inventions in its own name; and when requested, without charge to but at the expense of said Assignee, we agree to carry out in good faith the intent and purpose of this assignment, by executing all divisional, continuing, substitute, renewal, reissue, and all other patent applications on any and all said inventions, by executing all rightful oaths, assignments, powers of attorney and other papers, by communicating to said Assignee all facts known to us relating to said inventions and the history thereof, and generally by doing everything possible which said Assignee shall consider desirable for aiding in securing and maintaining proper patent protection for said inventions and for vesting

title to said inventions and all applications for patents and all patents on said inventions,
in said Assignee.

We hereby request the Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to issue said Letters Patent to said Assignee.

We covenant with said Assignee that no assignment, grant, mortgage, license or other agreement affecting the rights and property herein conveyed has been made to others by us and that full right to convey the same as herein expressed is possessed by us.

July 17, 1956
Date


LUNDY LEWIS

STATE OF New Hampshire :
COUNTY OF Hillsborough :

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17th day of July, 1996

SEAL

Timothy B. Hardy
Notary Public

TIMOTHY B. HARDY, Notary Public
My Commission Expires September 18, 1997



July 17, 1996

Date

DAVID ST. ONGE

David St. Onge

STATE OF New Hampshire :
COUNTY OF Hillsborough :

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17th day of July, 1996.

SEAL



Timothy B. Hardy
Notary Public

TIMOTHY B. HARDY, Notary Public
My Commission Expires September 16, 1997

✓ 9/24/96
Date

Ruchika Mehta
RUCHIKA MEHTA

STATE OF NEW JERSEY :
COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX :

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of September 1996

SEAL

William D. Lynch
Notary Public

WILLIAM D. LYNCH
NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MAY 31: 2001

Appendix B



United States Patent and Trademark Office

[Home](#) | [Site Index](#) | [Search](#) | [Guides](#) | [Contacts](#) | [eBusiness](#) | [eBiz alerts](#) | [News](#) | [Help](#)Assignments on the Web > **Patent Query**

Patent Assignment Details

NOTE: Results display only for issued patents and published applications. For pending or abandoned applications please consult USPTO staff.

Reel/Frame: 011590/0363 **Recorded:** **Pages:**
03/12/2001 6

Conveyance: ASSIGNMENT OF ASSIGNORS
INTEREST (SEE DOCUMENT FOR
DETAILS).

Total properties: 60

- 1 **Patent #:** 5261044 **Issue Dt:** 11/09/1993 **Application #:** 07788936 **Filing Dt:** 11/07/1991
Title: NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM USING MULTIFUNCTION ICONS FOR INFORMATION DISPLAY
- 2 **Patent #:** 5436909 **Issue Dt:** 07/25/1995 **Application #:** 07789000 **Filing Dt:** 11/07/1991
Title: NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM USING STATUS SUPPRESSION TO ISOLATE NETWORK FAULTS
- 3 **Patent #:** 5666481 **Issue Dt:** 09/09/1997 **Application #:** 08023972 **Filing Dt:** 02/26/1993
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR RESOLVING FAULTS IN COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS
- 4 **Patent #:** 5295244 **Issue Dt:** 03/15/1994 **Application #:** 08101777 **Filing Dt:** 08/03/1993
Title: NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM USING INTERCONNECTED HIERARCHIES TO REPRESENT DIFFERENT NETWORK DIMENSIONS IN MULTIPLE DISPLAY VIEWS
- 5 **Patent #:** 5504921 **Issue Dt:** 04/02/1996 **Application #:** 08243642 **Filing Dt:** 05/16/1994
Title: NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM USING MODEL-BASED INTELLIGENCE
- 6 **Patent #:** 5521910 **Issue Dt:** 05/28/1996 **Application #:** 08321038 **Filing Dt:** 10/05/1994
Title: A METHOD FOR DETERMINING A BEST PATH BETWEEN TWO NODES
- 7 **Patent #:** 5675741 **Issue Dt:** 10/07/1997 **Application #:** 08328513 **Filing Dt:** 10/25/1994
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETERMINING A COMMUNICATIONS PATH BETWEEN TWO NODES IN AN INTERNET PROTOCOL (IP) NETWORK
- 8 **Patent #:** 5559955 **Issue Dt:** 09/24/1996 **Application #:** 08355430 **Filing Dt:** 12/13/1994
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MONITORING THE STATUS OF NON-POLLABLE DEVICE IN A COMPUTER NETWORK
- 9 **Patent #:** 5748781 **Issue Dt:** 05/05/1998 **Application #:** 08368414 **Filing Dt:** 01/04/1995
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DIGITAL DATA COMPRESSION
- 10 **Patent #:** 5627819 **Issue Dt:** 05/06/1997 **Application #:** 08370158 **Filing Dt:** 01/09/1995
Title: USE OF MULTIPOINT CONNECTION SERVICES TO ESTABLISH CALL-TAPPING POINTS IN A SWITCHED NETWORK
- 11 **Patent #:** 5706436 **Issue Dt:** 01/06/1998 **Application #:** 08382294 **Filing Dt:** 02/01/1995
Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR EVALUATING NETWORK TRAFFIC PERFORMANCE
- 12 **Patent #:** 5832503 **Issue Dt:** 11/03/1998 **Application #:** 08394143 **Filing Dt:** 02/24/1995
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT IN COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS
- 13 **Patent #:** 5777549 **Issue Dt:** 07/07/1998 **Application #:** 08412955 **Filing Dt:** 03/29/1995
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR POLICY-BASED ALARM NOTIFICATION IN A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK MANAGEMENT ENVIRONMENT

- 14 Patent #:** 5872928 **Issue Dt:** 02/16/1999 **Application #:** 08450854 **Filing Dt:** 05/25/1995
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DEFINING AND ENFORCING POLICIES FOR CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT IN COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS
- 15 Patent #:** 5649103 **Issue Dt:** 07/15/1997 **Application #:** 08502163 **Filing Dt:** 07/13/1995
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MANAGING MULTIPLE SERVER REQUESTS AND COLLATING RESPONSES
- 16 Patent #:** 5764955 **Issue Dt:** 06/09/1998 **Application #:** 08545024 **Filing Dt:** 10/19/1995
Title: GATEWAY FOR USING LEGACY TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK ELEMENT EQUIPMENT WITH A COMMON MANAGEMENT INFORMATION PROTOCOL
- 17 Patent #:** 5590120 **Issue Dt:** 12/31/1996 **Application #:** 08550630 **Filing Dt:** 10/31/1995
Title: PORT-LINK CONFIGURATION TRACKING METHOD AND APPARATUS
- 18 Patent #:** 5696486 **Issue Dt:** 12/09/1997 **Application #:** 08558425 **Filing Dt:** 11/16/1995
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR POLICY-BASED ALARM NOTIFICATION IN A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK MANAGEMENT ENVIRONMENT
- 19 Patent #:** 5793362 **Issue Dt:** 08/11/1998 **Application #:** 08566978 **Filing Dt:** 12/04/1995
Title: A CONFIGURATIONS TRACKING SYSTEM USING TRANSITION MANAGER TO EVALUATE VOTES TO DETERMINE POSSIBLE CONNECTIONS BETWEEN PORTS IN A COMMUNICATION NETWORK IN ACCORDANCE WITH TRANSITION TABLES
- 20 Patent #:** 5734642 **Issue Dt:** 03/31/1998 **Application #:** 08577429 **Filing Dt:** 12/22/1995
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR NETWORK SYNCHRONIZATION
- 21 Patent #:** 6233623 **Issue Dt:** 05/15/2001 **Application #:** 08585054 **Filing Dt:** 01/10/1996
Title: REPLICATED RESOURCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR MANAGING RESOURCES IN A DISTRIBUTED APPLICATION AND MAINTAINING A RELATIVISTIC VIEW OF STATE
- 22 Patent #:** 6199172 **Issue Dt:** 03/06/2001 **Application #:** 08596064 **Filing Dt:** 02/06/1996
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TESTING THE RESPONSIVENESS OF A NETWORK DEVICE
- 23 Patent #:** 5751965 **Issue Dt:** 05/12/1998 **Application #:** 08619012 **Filing Dt:** 03/21/1996
Title: NETWORK CONNECTION STATUS MONITOR AND DISPLAY
- 24 Patent #:** 5889953 **Issue Dt:** 03/30/1999 **Application #:** 08622866 **Filing Dt:** 03/29/1996
Title: POLICY MANAGEMENT AND CONFLICT RESOLUTION IN COMPUTER NETWORKS
- 25 Patent #:** 6131112 **Issue Dt:** 10/10/2000 **Application #:** 08649278 **Filing Dt:** 05/17/1996
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INTEGRATED NETWORK AND SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT
- 26 Patent #:** 5768501 **Issue Dt:** 06/16/1998 **Application #:** 08654305 **Filing Dt:** 05/28/1996
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INTER-DOMAIN ALARM CORRELATION
- 27 Patent #:** 5907696 **Issue Dt:** 05/25/1999 **Application #:** 08675473 **Filing Dt:** 07/03/1996
Title: NETWORK DEVICE SIMULATOR
- 28 Patent #:** 6041383 **Issue Dt:** 03/21/2000 **Application #:** 08681040 **Filing Dt:** 07/22/1996
Title: ESTABLISHING CONTROL OF LOCK TOKEN FOR SHARED OBJECTS UPON APPROVAL MESSAGES FROM ALL OTHER PROCESSES
- 29 Patent #:** 5751933 **Issue Dt:** 05/12/1998 **Application #:** 08713152 **Filing Dt:** 09/12/1996
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MONITORING THE STATUS OF NON-POLLABLE DEVICES IN A COMPUTER NETWORK
- 30 Patent #:** 5687290 **Issue Dt:** 11/11/1997 **Application #:** 08722580 **Filing Dt:** 10/15/1996
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MONITORING AND CONTROLLING COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS
- 31 Patent #:** 5822305 **Issue Dt:** 10/13/1998 **Application #:** 08731701 **Filing Dt:** 10/17/1996
Title: PORT-LINK CONFIGURATION TRACKING METHOD AND APPARATUS

- 32 Patent #:** 5754532 **Issue Dt:** 05/19/1998 **Application #:** 08747456 **Filing Dt:** 11/12/1996
Title: USE OF MULTIPOINT CONNECTION SERVICES TO ESTABLISH CALL-TAPPING POINTS IN A SWITCHED NETWORK
- 33 Patent #:** 6014697 **Issue Dt:** 01/11/2000 **Application #:** 08769278 **Filing Dt:** 12/18/1996
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR AUTOMATICALLY POPULATING A NETWORK SIMULATOR TOOL
- 34 Patent #:** 5727157 **Issue Dt:** 03/10/1998 **Application #:** 08770696 **Filing Dt:** 12/19/1996
Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING NETWORK TOPOLOGY
- 35 Patent #:** 6084858 **Issue Dt:** 07/04/2000 **Application #:** 08790467 **Filing Dt:** 01/29/1997
Title: DISTRUBITION OF COMMUNICATION LOAD OVER MULTIPLE PATHS BASED UPON LINK UTILIZATION
- 36 Patent #:** 6216168 **Issue Dt:** 04/10/2001 **Application #:** 08819522 **Filing Dt:** 03/17/1997
Title: PERSPECTIVE-BASED SHAPED SCOPE ADDRESS RESOLUTION MEHTOD AND APPARATUS
- 37 Patent #:** 5812750 **Issue Dt:** 09/22/1998 **Application #:** 08824492 **Filing Dt:** 03/27/1997
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MONITORING THE STATUS OF NON-POLLABLE DEVICES IN A COMPUTER NETWORK
- 38 Patent #:** 6115362 **Issue Dt:** 09/05/2000 **Application #:** 08827541 **Filing Dt:** 03/28/1997
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETERMINING FRAME RELAY CONNECTIONS
- 39 Patent #:** 6003090 **Issue Dt:** 12/14/1999 **Application #:** 08842049 **Filing Dt:** 04/23/1997
Title: SYSTEM FOR DETERMINING CONNECTION AVAILABILITY BETWEEN SOURCE AND DESTINATION DEVICES FOR SPECIFIED TIME PERIOD
- 40 Patent #:** 6209033 **Issue Dt:** 03/27/2001 **Application #:** 08855222 **Filing Dt:** 05/13/1997
Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR NETWORK CAPACITY EVALUATION AND PLANNING
- 41 Patent #:** 6392667 **Issue Dt:** 05/21/2002 **Application #:** 08871153 **Filing Dt:** 06/09/1997
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR REPRESENTING OBJECTS AS VISUALLY DISCERNABLE ENTITIES BASED ON SPATIAL DEFINITION AND PERSPECTIVE
- 42 Patent #:** 6141720 **Issue Dt:** 10/31/2000 **Application #:** 08873550 **Filing Dt:** 06/12/1997
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR COORDINATION OF A SHARED OBJECT IN A DISTRIBUTED SYSTEM
- 43 Patent #:** 6437804 **Issue Dt:** 08/20/2002 **Application #:** 08956831 **Filing Dt:** 10/23/1997
Title: METHOD FOR AUTOMATIC PARTITIONING OF NODE-WEIGHTED, EDGE-CONSTRAINED GRAPHS
- 44 Patent #:** 5987442 **Issue Dt:** 11/16/1999 **Application #:** 08960076 **Filing Dt:** 10/24/1997
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR LEARNING NETWORK BEHAVIOR TRENDS AND PREDICTING FUTURE BEHAVIOR OF COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS
- 45 Patent #:** 6026442 **Issue Dt:** 02/15/2000 **Application #:** 08976866 **Filing Dt:** 11/24/1997
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SURVEILLANCE IN COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS
- 46 Patent #:** 6502079 **Issue Dt:** 12/31/2002 **Application #:** 08986947 **Filing Dt:** 12/08/1997
Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ENFORCING FLOATING LICENSES
- 47 Patent #:** 6000045 **Issue Dt:** 12/07/1999 **Application #:** 09094428 **Filing Dt:** 06/08/1998
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INTER-DOMAIN ALARM CORRELATION
- 48 Patent #:** 6057757 **Issue Dt:** 05/02/2000 **Application #:** 09110564 **Filing Dt:** 07/06/1998
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR POLICY-BASED ALARM NOTIFICATION IN A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK MANAGEMENT ENVIRONMENT
- 49 Patent #:** 6255943 **Issue Dt:** 07/03/2001 **Application #:** 09124204 **Filing Dt:** 07/28/1998
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DISTRIBUTED OBJECT FILTERING

- 50 Patent #:** NONE **Issue Dt:** **Application #:** 09131180 **Filing Dt:** 08/10/1998
Publication #: US20020032760 **Pub Dt:** 03/14/2002
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TRACKING CONNECTION-ORIENTED COMMUNICATIONS CONFIGURATIONS
- 51 Patent #:** 6049828 **Issue Dt:** 04/11/2000 **Application #:** 09153711 **Filing Dt:** 09/15/1998
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MONITORING THE STATUS OF NON-POLLABLE DEVICES IN A COMPUTER NETWORK
- 52 Patent #:** 6421719 **Issue Dt:** 07/16/2002 **Application #:** 09164139 **Filing Dt:** 09/30/1998
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR REACTIVE AND DELIBERATIVE CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT
- 53 Patent #:** 6349306 **Issue Dt:** 02/19/2002 **Application #:** 09183701 **Filing Dt:** 10/30/1998
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT IN COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS
- 54 Patent #:** 6381639 **Issue Dt:** 04/30/2002 **Application #:** 09219294 **Filing Dt:** 12/22/1998
Title: POLICY MANAGEMENT AND CONFLICT RESOLUTION IN COMPUTER NETWORKS
- 55 Patent #:** 6243747 **Issue Dt:** 06/05/2001 **Application #:** 09249219 **Filing Dt:** 02/12/1999
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DEFINING AND ENFORCING POLICIES FOR CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT IN COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS
- 56 Patent #:** 6064304 **Issue Dt:** 05/16/2000 **Application #:** 09307833 **Filing Dt:** 05/10/1999
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR POLICY-BASED ALARM NOTIFICATION IN A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK MANAGEMENT ENVIRONMENT
- 57 Patent #:** 6651062 **Issue Dt:** 11/18/2003 **Application #:** 09386571 **Filing Dt:** 08/31/1999
Publication #: US20020188584 **Pub Dt:** 12/12/2002
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MANAGING DATA FOR USE BY DATA APPLICATIONS
- 58 Patent #:** 6205563 **Issue Dt:** 03/20/2001 **Application #:** 09455041 **Filing Dt:** 12/06/1999
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INTER-DOMAIN ALARM CORRELATION
- 59 Patent #:** 6324590 **Issue Dt:** 11/27/2001 **Application #:** 09539752 **Filing Dt:** 03/31/2000
Title: Replicated resource management system for managing resources in a distributed application and maintaining a relativistic view of state
- 60 Patent #:** 6373383 **Issue Dt:** 04/16/2002 **Application #:** 09571625 **Filing Dt:** 05/15/2000
Title: Method and apparatus for policy-based alarm notification in a distributed network management environment

Assignor**1** CABLETRON SYSTEMS, INC.**Exec Dt:** 09/29/2000**Assignee****1** APRISMA MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.121 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE
DURHAM, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03824**Correspondence name and address**ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC.
SANDRA M. NACKEL
486 AMHERST ST.
NASHUA, NH 03063

Search Results as of: 11/19/2004 07:26 PM

If you have any comments or questions concerning the data displayed, contact OPR / Assignments at 703-308-9723

[| .HOME](#) | [INDEX](#) | [SEARCH](#) | [eBUSINESS](#) | [CONTACT US](#) | [PRIVACY STATEMENT](#)

COPY



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
 ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER
 OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
 Washington, D.C. 20231

MAY 23, 2001

PTAS



101650250A

ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC.
 SANDRA M. NACKEL
 486 AMHERST ST.
 NASHUA, NH 03063



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 NOTICE OF RECORDATION OF ASSIGNMENT DOCUMENT

THE ENCLOSED DOCUMENT HAS BEEN RECORDED BY THE ASSIGNMENT DIVISION OF THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE. A COMPLETE MICROFILM COPY IS AVAILABLE AT THE ASSIGNMENT SEARCH ROOM ON THE REEL AND FRAME NUMBER REFERENCED BELOW.

PLEASE REVIEW ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED ON THIS NOTICE. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON THIS RECORDATION NOTICE REFLECTS THE DATA PRESENT IN THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT SYSTEM. IF YOU SHOULD FIND ANY ERRORS OR HAVE QUESTIONS CONCERNING THIS NOTICE, YOU MAY CONTACT THE EMPLOYEE WHOSE NAME APPEARS ON THIS NOTICE AT 703-308-9723. PLEASE SEND REQUEST FOR CORRECTION TO: U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE, ASSIGNMENT DIVISION, BOX ASSIGNMENTS, CG-4, 1213 JEFFERSON DAVIS HWY, SUITE 320, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231.

RECORDATION DATE: 03/12/2001

REEL/FRAME: 011590/0363
 NUMBER OF PAGES: 6

BRIEF: ASSIGNMENT OF ASSIGNOR'S INTEREST (SEE DOCUMENT FOR DETAILS).

ASSIGNOR:
 CABLETRON SYSTEMS, INC.

DOC DATE: 09/29/2000

ASSIGNEE:
 APRISMA MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGIES,
 INC.
 121 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE
 DURHAM, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03824

RECEIVED
 NOV 24 2004

Technology Center 2100

SERIAL NUMBER: 08585054
 PATENT NUMBER: 6233623

FILING DATE: 01/10/1996
 ISSUE DATE: 05/15/2001

SERIAL NUMBER: 08596064
 PATENT NUMBER: 6199172

FILING DATE: 02/06/1996
 ISSUE DATE: 03/06/2001

SERIAL NUMBER: 09616824
 PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 07/14/2000
 ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 08649278
 PATENT NUMBER: 6131112

FILING DATE: 05/17/1996
 ISSUE DATE: 10/10/2000

011590/0363 PAGE 2

SERIAL NUMBER: 08819522
PATENT NUMBER: 6216168

FILING DATE: 03/17/1997
ISSUE DATE: 04/10/2001

SERIAL NUMBER: 08855222
PATENT NUMBER: 6209033

FILING DATE: 05/13/1997
ISSUE DATE: 03/27/2001

SERIAL NUMBER: 08871153
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 06/09/1997
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 08873549
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 06/12/1997
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 08873550
PATENT NUMBER: 6141720

FILING DATE: 06/12/1997
ISSUE DATE: 10/31/2000

SERIAL NUMBER: 08874104
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 06/12/1997
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 08891117
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 07/10/1997
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 08956831
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 10/23/1997
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 08986947
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 12/08/1997
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09058054
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 04/09/1998
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09074059
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/07/1998
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09124204
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 07/28/1998
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09131180
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 08/10/1998
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09164139
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 09/30/1998
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09183701
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 10/30/1998
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09219294
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 12/22/1998
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09249219
PATENT NUMBER: 6243747

FILING DATE: 02/12/1999
ISSUE DATE: 06/05/2001

SERIAL NUMBER: 09386571
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 08/31/1999
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09455041
PATENT NUMBER: 6205563

FILING DATE: 12/06/1999
ISSUE DATE: 03/20/2001

SERIAL NUMBER: 09481643
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 01/12/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09538330
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 03/29/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09539752
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 03/31/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09560851
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 04/28/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09578156
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/23/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09577295
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/23/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09577236
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/23/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09577232
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/23/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09577231
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/23/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09577225
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/23/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09577224
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/23/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 09571625
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/15/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 60217972
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 07/13/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 60217969
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 07/13/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 60217968
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 07/13/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 60202300
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/05/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 60202299
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/05/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 60202298
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/05/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 60202297
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/05/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 60202296
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 05/05/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 60183081
PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: 02/16/2000
ISSUE DATE:

SERIAL NUMBER: 07788936
PATENT NUMBER: 5261044

FILING DATE: 11/07/1991
ISSUE DATE: 11/09/1993

SERIAL NUMBER: 08101777
PATENT NUMBER: 5295244

FILING DATE: 08/03/1993
ISSUE DATE: 03/15/1994

SERIAL NUMBER: 07789000
PATENT NUMBER: 5436909

FILING DATE: 11/07/1991
ISSUE DATE: 07/25/1995

SERIAL NUMBER: 08243642
PATENT NUMBER: 5504921

FILING DATE: 05/16/1994
ISSUE DATE: 04/02/1996

SERIAL NUMBER: 08321038
PATENT NUMBER: 5521910

FILING DATE: 10/05/1994
ISSUE DATE: 05/28/1996

SERIAL NUMBER: 08355430
PATENT NUMBER: 5559955

FILING DATE: 12/13/1994
ISSUE DATE: 09/24/1996

SERIAL NUMBER: 08550630
PATENT NUMBER: 5590120

FILING DATE: 10/31/1995
ISSUE DATE: 12/31/1996

SERIAL NUMBER: 08370158
PATENT NUMBER: 5627819

FILING DATE: 01/09/1995
ISSUE DATE: 05/06/1997

SERIAL NUMBER: 08502163
PATENT NUMBER: 5649103

FILING DATE: 07/13/1995
ISSUE DATE: 07/15/1997

SERIAL NUMBER: 08023972
PATENT NUMBER: 5666481

FILING DATE: 02/26/1993
ISSUE DATE: 09/09/1997

SERIAL NUMBER: 08328513
PATENT NUMBER: 5675741

FILING DATE: 10/25/1994
ISSUE DATE: 10/07/1997

SERIAL NUMBER: 08722580
PATENT NUMBER: 5687290

FILING DATE: 10/15/1996
ISSUE DATE: 11/11/1997

SERIAL NUMBER: 08558425
PATENT NUMBER: 5696486

FILING DATE: 11/16/1995
ISSUE DATE: 12/09/1997

SERIAL NUMBER: 08382294
PATENT NUMBER: 5706436

FILING DATE: 02/01/1995
ISSUE DATE: 01/06/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08770696
PATENT NUMBER: 5727157

FILING DATE: 12/19/1996
ISSUE DATE: 03/10/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08577429
PATENT NUMBER: 5734642

FILING DATE: 12/22/1995
ISSUE DATE: 03/31/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08368414
PATENT NUMBER: 5748781

FILING DATE: 01/04/1995
ISSUE DATE: 05/05/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08713152
PATENT NUMBER: 5751933

FILING DATE: 09/12/1996
ISSUE DATE: 05/12/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08619012
PATENT NUMBER: 5751965

FILING DATE: 03/21/1996
ISSUE DATE: 05/12/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08747456
PATENT NUMBER: 5754532

FILING DATE: 11/12/1996
ISSUE DATE: 05/19/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08545024
PATENT NUMBER: 5764955

FILING DATE: 10/19/1995
ISSUE DATE: 06/09/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08654305
PATENT NUMBER: 5768501

FILING DATE: 05/28/1996
ISSUE DATE: 06/16/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08412955
PATENT NUMBER: 5777549

FILING DATE: 03/29/1995
ISSUE DATE: 07/07/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08566978
PATENT NUMBER: 5793362

FILING DATE: 12/04/1995
ISSUE DATE: 08/11/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08824492
PATENT NUMBER: 5812750

FILING DATE: 03/27/1997
ISSUE DATE: 09/22/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08731701
PATENT NUMBER: 5822305

FILING DATE: 10/17/1996
ISSUE DATE: 10/13/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08394143
PATENT NUMBER: 5832503

FILING DATE: 02/24/1995
ISSUE DATE: 11/03/1998

SERIAL NUMBER: 08450854
PATENT NUMBER: 5872928

FILING DATE: 05/25/1995
ISSUE DATE: 02/16/1999

SERIAL NUMBER: 08622866
PATENT NUMBER: 5889953

FILING DATE: 03/29/1996
ISSUE DATE: 03/30/1999

SERIAL NUMBER: 08675473
PATENT NUMBER: 5907696

FILING DATE: 07/03/1996
ISSUE DATE: 05/25/1999

SERIAL NUMBER: 08960076
PATENT NUMBER: 5987442

FILING DATE: 10/24/1997
ISSUE DATE: 11/16/1999

SERIAL NUMBER: 09094428
PATENT NUMBER: 6000045

FILING DATE: 06/08/1998
ISSUE DATE: 12/07/1999

011690/0363 PAGE 6

SERIAL NUMBER: 08842049
PATENT NUMBER: 6003090

FILING DATE: 04/23/1997
ISSUE DATE: 12/14/1999

SERIAL NUMBER: 08769278
PATENT NUMBER: 6014697

FILING DATE: 12/18/1996
ISSUE DATE: 01/11/2000

SERIAL NUMBER: 08976866
PATENT NUMBER: 6026442

FILING DATE: 11/24/1997
ISSUE DATE: 02/15/2000

SERIAL NUMBER: 08681040
PATENT NUMBER: 6041383

FILING DATE: 07/22/1996
ISSUE DATE: 03/21/2000

SERIAL NUMBER: 09153711
PATENT NUMBER: 6049828

FILING DATE: 09/15/1998
ISSUE DATE: 04/11/2000

SERIAL NUMBER: 09110564
PATENT NUMBER: 6057757

FILING DATE: 07/06/1998
ISSUE DATE: 05/02/2000

SERIAL NUMBER: 09307833
PATENT NUMBER: 6064304

FILING DATE: 05/10/1999
ISSUE DATE: 05/16/2000

SERIAL NUMBER: 08790467
PATENT NUMBER: 6084858

FILING DATE: 01/29/1997
ISSUE DATE: 07/04/2000

SERIAL NUMBER: 08827541
PATENT NUMBER: 6115362

FILING DATE: 03/28/1997
ISSUE DATE: 09/05/2000

TARA WASHINGTON, EXAMINER
ASSIGNMENT DIVISION
OFFICE OF PUBLIC RECORDS

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.